Name Kenneth Fredrick Klein III 2022 DEC 19 PM 1: 14 CLERK-LAS CRUCES 2125 S. Espina St. Apt 1 Las Cruces, NM Address UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO Kenneth Fredrick Klein III CASE NO. 12 CV 962 , Plaintiff (Full Name) (To be supplied by the Clerk) ٧. Christopher , Defendant(s) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT and Christina Rauls - Dolin **PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C.§1983 JURISDICTION** Kenneth F. Klein III **New Mexico** 1) _, is a citizen of (Plaintiff) (State) who presently resides at 2125 S. Espina St. Apt 1 Las Cruces, NM 88001 (Mailing address or place of confinement) Defendant Christina Dolin 2) __ is a citizen of (Name of first defendant) , and is employed as Chester, VA (City, State) . At the time the claim(s) (Position and title, if any) alleged in this complaint arose, was this defendant acting under color of state law? Yes No V If your answer is "Yes", briefly explain:

Case 2:22-cv-00962-GJF Document 1 Filed 12/19/22 Page 1 of 9

| 3) | Defendant Christina 2. Radis - Dolin | is a citizen of |
|----|---|------------------------------|
| | (Name of second defendant) | |
| | Chester, VA | , and is employed as |
| | (City, State) | |
| | | · At the time the claim(s) |
| | (Position and title, if any) | |
| | alleged in this complaint arose, was this defendant a | cting under color of state. |
| | Yes No V If your answer is "Yes", briefly | / explain: |
| | | |
| | (Use the back of this page to furnish the above defendants.) | e information for additional |
| 4) | Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1343 wish to assert Jurisdiction under different or additional below.) | |

B. NATURE OF THE CASE

1) Briefly state the background of your case.

Christina 7 Daula Dalin

CRD and Christopher Dolin sought to issue and had issued not one, two or three warrants for criminal process but upwards of twenty complaints. These various warrants were sworn in October and November 2021.

The nature of the "Complaints" was that Klein was "stalking" CRD and Christopher Dolin. All the Complaints were self-verified by either CRD or Christopher Dolin and not independently verified by any law-enforcement or other witnesses. None of the sworn complaints by Mr. Dolin had any factual basis and lacked any true probable cause

As a result of the Criminal Complaints Klein was arrested and held in the Commonwealth of Virginia prison system for a period of not less than thirty-two (32) days. Eventually, Klein was able to obtain bond and other relief and was released As a result of the malicious and improper Criminal Complaints lodged against Klein by CRD and Christopher Dolin, and his subsequent incarceration, Klein has lost his livelihood, has been subjected to embarrassment, scorn and ridicule Ultimately, the Criminal Complaints filed by and lodged by CRD and Christopher Dolin have been disproven and dismissed with prejudice. The final dismissal with prejudice occurred on or about October 6, 2022 in Chesterfield General District Court.

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

| 1) | I allege that the following of my constitutional rights, privileges or immunities have been violated and that the following facts form the basis for my allegations: (If necessary, you may attach up to two additional pages (8 1/2" x 11") to explain any allegation or to list additional supporting facts. A)(1) Count I: Violation of Klein's Fourth Amendment (Amendment IV) to the United States Constitution |
|----|---|
| | (2) Supporting Facts: (Include all facts you consider important, including names of persons involved, places and dates. Describe exactly how each defendant is involved. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing leagl authority or argument.) Christopher and Christina knowingly acted in concert to ensure Klein was arrested and reamnded to the jail by the amount of warrants they sought at the magistrates office in both Chesterfield and Richmond. While CRD had sought protective orders, Christopher Dolin had sought out warrants for arrest. Furthermore CRD and Christopher Dolin had lodged lawsuits against Klein in an effort to extort money from him. |
| | B)(1) Count II: |
| | (2) Supporting Facts: |

XE-2 2/78 -3-

| C)(1) Count III: | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| (2) Supporting Facts: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| D) PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF | | |
| 1) Have you begun other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this action or otherwise relating to the conditions of your imprisonment? Yes No V If your answer is "YES", describe each lawsuit. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using the same outline.) | | |
| a) Parties to previous lawsuit. | | |
| Plaintiffs: | | |
| Defendants: | | |
| b) Name of court and docket number: | | |
| c) Disposition (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending? | | |
| d) Issues raised: | | |

| | e) Approximate date of filing lawsuit: | |
|---|---|---|
| | f) Approximate date of disposition: | |
| 2) | regarding the acts complained of in Part C. | leif from the appropriate administrative officials Yes No If your answer is "Yes", e results. If your answer is "No," briefly explain |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | E. REQUES | T FOR RELIEF |
| | L. NEQUEO | TTORRELL |
| I believe that I am entitled to the following relief: \$50,000 from Christina Rawls - Dolin and \$50,000 from Christopher Dolin. for their attempt to abus process of the criminal justice system. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | i |
| | | Kuch F. Koon |
| | Signature of Attorney (if any) | Signature of Petitioner |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Attorney's full address and telephone number. | |

XE-2 2/78 - 5 -

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury that he is the plaintiff in the above action, that he has read the above complaint and that the information contained therein is true and correct. 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1746. 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1621.

| Executed at | on | | 20 | |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--|
| _ | (Location) | | (Date) | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | (Signa | ture) | |

In The United States District Court for the District of New Mexico

| Plaintiff: | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Kenneth F. Klein III |) Case NO |
| | , |
| Vs | |
| Defendant: |) |
| Christina Zenobia Rawls- Dolin | |

COMPLAINT FOR MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, WRONGFUL ABUSE OF PROCESS, & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

Comes Now Kenneth F. Klein, III, pro se, and states the following in support of his claim for damages against the defendants

- 1. Kenneth Klein ("Klein") was a resident of Petersburg Virginia at all times relevant to this action.
- 2. Christina Rawls-Dolin ("CRD") purportedly engaged in the business of psychotherapy and counseling.
- 3. Christopher Dolin is the husband of CRD and has for reasons known only to him sought to insert himself in this dispute.
- 4. Klein undertook and participated in several professional therapy sessions with CRD.
- 5. As a result of the sessions, Klein and CRD encountered a billing dispute ("Billing Dispute") that involved the payment of a \$50 deductible.
- 6. The Billing Dispute resulted in civil lawsuits being filed by CRD against Klein and counterclaims.
- 7. At some point in this series of Billing Dispute, CRD and Christopher Dolin sought to ramp up the litigation and the impact upon Klein.
- 8. As a result, CRD and Christopher Dolin sought to issue and had issued not one, two or three warrants for criminal process but upwards of twenty

- complaints. These various warrants were sworn in October and November 2021.
- 9. These criminal complaints were filed in the City of Richmond and Chesterfield County.
- 10. The nature of the "Complaints" was that Klein was "stalking" CRD and Christopher Dolin. All the Complaints were self-verified by either CRD or Christopher Dolin and not independently verified by any lawenforcement or other witnesses. None of the sworn complaints by Mr. Dolin had any factual basis and lacked any true probable cause
- 11. As a result of the Criminal Complaints Klein was arrested and held in the Commonwealth of Virginia prison system for a period of not less than thirty-two (32) days. Eventually, Klein was able to obtain bonded and other relief and was released
- 12. As a result of the malicious and improper Criminal Complaints lodged against Klein by CRD and Christopher Dolin, and his subsequent incarceration, Klein has lost his livelihood, has been subjected to embarrassment, scorn and ridicule
- 13. Kleins damages are both psychological as well as economic in that he lives in constant fear that CRD and Christopher Dolin will target him again in this malicious and abusive fashion
- 14. Ultimately, the Criminal Complaints filed by and lodged by CRD and Christopher Dolin have been disproven and dismissed with prejudice. The final dismissal with prejudice occurred on or about October 6, 2022 in Chesterfield General District Court.
- 15. Having engaged in conduct involving the heinous direction of criminal process towards Klein and now having their "Criminal Complaints" ultimately dismissed, CRD and Christopher Dolin are legally responsible for the malicious prosecution and malicious abuse of process.
- 16. The invalidity and illegal motivation of CRD and Christopher Dolin are absolutely revealed by the fact that they sought not one or two Criminal Complaints against Klein but in excess of twenty (24). The clear aim of CRD and Christopher Dolin's actions was to yield maximum destructive force onto Klein by the overt misuse of criminal process.

17. Damages:

During the criminal proceedings Mr. Klein was held in custody at either Chesterfield County Jail or Pamunkey Reg'l Jail (from herein will be referred to by facility names). For approximately 32 days while awaiting appeal bail hearing in Chesterfield County Circuit Court.

Mr. Klein was placed on bail on $24^{\rm th}$ December but was not released from custody until 26 December 2021. Bail was set in the amount of \$5,000 per jurisdiction. He retained the services of Terrell's Bail Bonding to handle all bail bonding.

While on bail the plaintiff was required as a condition of release to be subjected to continuous monitoring by GPS ankle monitoring. Which he was charged for in the amount of \$67.00 a week. The ankle monitor was removed during the week of July 22nd during a status hearing.

Klein retained the legal counsel of Paul C. Galanides P.C. in Richmond, Va. Mr. Galanides was contracted to represent Mr. Klein in both the criminal cases and the Protective orders. Mr. Galanides was contracted in the amount of \$6,000.

The plaintiff was then subjected to continuous damages while he was wearing the ankle monitor and he had a difficult time finding gainful employment while on bail. Which included his permanent termination from Lowes Home improvement stores.

Klein has been unable to engage in gainful employment for at least 1 years as a direct result of the Actions of CRD and Christopher Dolin.

Wherefore Kenneth Klein, III respectfully prays that this Honorable Court grant him a judgment in the amount of \$50,000 and award any and other such further relief as justice may require given the egregious factual circumstances presented by this case